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Anekānta, Ahīṃsā and the Question of Pluralism

ANNE VALLELY

Jainism embraces the philosophy of anekānta as staunchly as it espouses the righteousness of ahīṃsā. Anekānta and ahīṃsā are customarily discussed in terms of how each presupposes the other. The acceptance of the partiality of knowledge is an expression of nonviolence; and a commitment to nonviolence necessitates a pluralistic outlook.¹ The two are seen essentially as different aspects of the same ethical orientation. But can we treat ahīṃsā as a normative ethical ideal, and accept as morally just the view (and practice) of others who repudiate it? It has been argued that a truly pluralist approach is a logical impossibility—that some criteria of truth are essential to all worldviews. Pluralism, therefore, becomes either a form

¹ Jainism shares with India’s other religious traditions a commitment to pluralism. Harold Coward writes, “India is probably the world’s oldest and most interesting ‘living laboratory’ of religious pluralism.” See H.G. Coward (ed.), Modern Indian Responses to Religious Pluralism (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1987), p. xi.
An Experiment with John Philoponus

In my earlier work, I have explored the question of exclusivism. By exclusivism, I mean the view that there can be only one true religion. This view is often held by monotheistic religions, which believe in a single god. However, I have argued that this view is not necessarily true.

To support my view, I have looked at the work of John Philoponus, a medieval philosopher. Philoponus was a Christian who lived in the 6th century. He was a major influence on later Christian philosophers, including Thomas Aquinas.

Philoponus argued that the question of religious pluralism is not a question of faith, but rather a question of reason. He believed that there could be many true religions, just as there can be many true scientific theories.

In this paper, I will explore the implications of Philoponus' view for our understanding of religion. I will argue that the concept of a single true religion is not essential to the practice of religion, and that there is no reason to reject the possibility of religious diversity.
Jesus had raised him from the dead. The story of Lazarus being raised from the dead was a powerful message of the power of Jesus. Everyone in the village was discussing Jesus and His power. They knew He was coming to bring them life after death.

The stories have spiritual significance as well. They are not just historical accounts but lessons that we can learn from. Each story teaches us about the nature of faith and the importance of believing in something greater than ourselves.

My purpose in understanding Jesus through these stories was to learn the principles and pleasures of faith. By studying the lives of these people, I was able to gain a deeper understanding of the nature of faith and the importance of living a life that is centered on Him.
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denotes the social basis of knowledge as much as it defines the criteria for truth within the thinking subject alone. Since standards as such do not exist in all Humean fashion, subscribing the view that all people should be judged by a single standard, many lead to the conclusion that the opposite positions—none simultaneously validated by oppositional positions—are simultaneously validated by these
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Ananda, Ananda, and the Question of Pluralism
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**Lazarus Revisited: Conclusion**

Putting the doctrine of *anekānta* into practice is a huge challenge. And in hindsight, I believe that my stumblings in Ladnun were not so much evidence of failure, as they were evidence of this challenge. I had made the pluralists’ mistake of believing openness to the other required a break from one’s own beliefs – a temporary suspension in epistemological limbo. This view is futile and full of inconsistencies. But Jain pluralism does not require it and therefore the possibility for an honest and creative acceptance of diversity can exist.

The Jain *sāmanīś* of Ladnun uncompromisingly maintained *āhimsā* to be an eternal and unchangeable moral law. Other views or practices that would contradict these beliefs would certainly be challenged, and ultimately rejected. But what is significant, I believe, is that both the retention and rejection of views is tempered by the belief that our perception conveys only a partial reality, that reality itself is manifold, and that to assume that one particular point of view is final is to hold a limited picture of reality.

The doctrine of *anēkāntavāda* or many-sidedness comes close to obligating its adherents to become familiar with other ways-of-knowing. My appointment in Ladnun as “Teacher of Christianity” is a testament to that. And, in so doing, it goes a long way towards accomplishing the goal at the very core of pluralism, that is, recognition of autonomy and legitimacy of the very diversity of human existence.